

Table 1 RED Implementation components, indicators, indicator weighting scheme and level needed to achieve high implementation score (concluded)

| Component Indicator | Individual Indicator | Individual indicator weight ^a | Level for achieving high RED IS ^b |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Community links (<i>n</i> = 5) | No. of different types of social mobilization activities planned | 0.20 | ≥ 6 |
| | % of planned social mobilization activities implemented | 0.20 | ≥ 100% |
| | EPI Friends Society member involvement | 0.20 | Yes |
| | Women's Union member involvement | 0.20 | Yes |
| | No. of other community groups involved in EPI | 0.20 | ≥ 2 |

^aIndicators were assigned a weight by an expert opinion team, each component has a weight of 0.20 for the overall RED IS score.

^bHigh level of implementation equalled approximately the top 3rd of districts, using natural cut-off points.

^cIn 1 state only 6 meetings were held per year because of distances.

RED = reaching every district; IS = implementation score; EPI = Expanded Programme on Immunization; LO = locality officer.