Table 2 Characteristics of the studies included in the review

Author/ year	Country	Study type	Participants (n)	Age (yr), mean (SD)	Sex distribution (%)	Aim
Gurdogan et al. <sup>1</sup> 2020	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Family caregivers (182)	44.02 (13.02)	Male: 41.8 female: 58.2	To examine the importance of the concept of a good death and the contributing factors from the perspectives of family caregivers of advanced cancer patients.
Duru Aşiret et al.26 2020	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Nursing students (224)	20.7 (1.2)	Male: 69.2 female: 30.8	To identify the relationship between the nursing students' attitudes towards spiritual care and the principles of a good death.
Estebsari et al. <sup>3</sup> 2017	Islamic Republic of Iran	Qualitative	Nursing students (300)	No report	Male: 60 female: 40	To explain the views of Iranians about the elements of good death
Duyan et al.27 2015	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Social workers (195)	34 (9.65)	Male: 44 female: 56	To be the basis of discussions and further studies on such sensitive topics in Turkey.
Moslemi et al. <sup>31</sup> 2020	Islamic Republic of Iran	Cross-sectional	NICU nurses (130)	34.2 (2.3)	Male: 0 female: 100	To assess the psychometric features of the quality of dying and death questionnaire in NICU nurses in Tehran.
Hammami et al.34 2015	Saudi Arabia	Qualitative	Saudi men (120)	32.1 (9.8)	Male: 100 female: 0	To explore Saudi male opinions regarding end-of life priorities.
Ceyhan et al. <sup>22</sup> 2018	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Nurses (122)	31.2 (5.39)	No report	To determine the attitude of nurses regarding the concept of a good death and terminal phase was conducted to determine the effect on patient care.
Demir et al.7 2017	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Nurses (856)	30.49 (6.12)	Male: 7.7 female: 92.3	To determine nurses' perceptions and experiences with futile medical care and their opinions about principles of good death.
Iranmanesh et al. <sup>16</sup> 2011	Islamic Republic of Iran.	Cross-sectional	Bereaved family members (150)	33	Male: 19 female: 81	To evaluate a good death concept from the Iranian bereaved family members' perspective.
Moghadam et al. <sup>52</sup> 2019	Islamic Republic of Iran.	Cross-sectional	Medical students (152)	22.12 (2.35)	Male: 39.5 female: 60.5	To investigate medical students' viewpoints regarding euthanasia.
Razban et al. <sup>33</sup> 2016	Islamic Republic of Iran.	Cross-sectional	ICU nurses (104)	No report	Male: 7.1 female: 92.9	To evaluate the attitude of critical care nurses towards life- sustaining treatments in South East Iran.
Şahin et al. <sup>23</sup> 2017	Turkey	Cross-sectional	Emergency –ICU nurses (140)	32.72 (7.35)	Male: 7.1 female: 86.4	To define and measure attitudes toward good death and death anxiety in nurses working at emergency service and intensive care.
Tayeb A. et al. <sup>15</sup> 2010	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional	(Muslim patients and healthcare providers) (284)	37	Male: 58 female: 42	To review the Future of Health and Care of Older People good death perception to identify and describe other components of the Muslim good death perspective.
Alawneh et al.20 2021	Jordan	Retrospective cohort study	Cancer patients (630)	No report	Male: 48 female: 52	To examine the place of death of cancer patients in Jordan and the determinants of home death among this patient group.

NICU = neonatal intensive care unit.